## Modeling the effect of multiple heavy metal contamination in soils on plants using nutrient solutions

## Ferenc FODOR, Veronika Gyuricza, Zoltán Szigeti

Department of Plant Physiology and Molecular Plant Biology, Eötvös Loránd University, Pázmány P. s. 1/c, Budapest 1117, Hungary - E-mail: ffodor@ludens.elte.hu

Capsule: Samples from heavy metal contaminated soils and nutrient solutions composed on the basis of the soil metal content were used for assessing the ecotoxicological risk at the sampling site. Measurements of plant physiological parameters were used for comparison between solution models and soils.

## Background

Ecological hazard of contaminated sites are assessed by ecotoxicological tests. However, these tests are not reliable in all cases as plants may mobilize the otherwise biologically not available contaminants. The plants may take up e.g. heavy metals with or without showing (visible) toxicity symptoms. Thus, using plants in ecotoxicological tests is encouraged by different authors.

Contaminated site map of the abandoned industrial site of the Metallochemia Ltd. In Budapest, Hungary. The pollution came from the dismantling of used batteries with the waste disposed on site and the production of metallic paints such as red lead and lithopone for nearly century

Three soils samples were collected in the contaminated site and standardised ecotoxicological tests were conducted to evaluate the toxicity level.

**Results** 

On the basis of Pseudomonas fluorescens, Azotobacter agile and *Sinapis alba* germination tests the collected soil samples were evaluated as non-toxic.







Basic nutrient solution and treatments. The treatment concentrations were applied in 1x, 10x and

Objectives

The aim of this work was the ecotoxicological evaluation of soils by assessment of phytotoxicity parameters evaluation of nutrient solution models to be used for risk assessment

Plants grown on the soil

samples (4=control soil)

Total and bioavailable metal content of the soil samples was determined by ICP-AES

| -             |          |                    |          |                    |          |                    |
|---------------|----------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|----------|--------------------|
| mg/kg<br>soil | Sample 1 |                    | Sample 2 |                    | Sample 3 |                    |
| element       | total    | plant<br>available | total    | plant<br>available | total    | plant<br>available |
| Pb            | 89610    | 14270              | 41360    | 4176               | 24510    | 6464               |
| Cu            | 9268     | 916                | 52890    | 2129               | 2663     | 413                |
| Zn            | 11620    | 760                | 13140    | 1118               | 11330    | 927                |
| Cd            | 26       | 5                  | 28       | 7                  | 53       | 19                 |
| Fe            | 23600    | 87                 | 21575    | 16                 | 1758     | 25                 |

Concentration of metals in the leaves of plants grown in the soil samples determined by ICP-AES Sample 1 Sample 2 Sample 3 mg/g

| PD | 0.334 | 0.200 | 0.152 |
|----|-------|-------|-------|
| Cu | -     | 0.360 | -     |
| Zn | 0.743 | 0.615 | 0.152 |
| Cd | -     | -     | -     |
| Fe | 0.181 | 0.126 | 0.061 |

| Concentration of metals in the leaves of plants grown in nutrient solution determined by ICP-AES. (Dilutions: 1x and 10X) |            |       |            |     |            |       |
|---|------------|-------|------------|-----|------------|-------|
| mg/g  | Solution 1 |       | Solution 2 |     | Solution 3 |       |
| element   | 1x         | 10x   | 1x         | 10x | 1x         | 10x   |
| Pb  | 0.087      | 0.056 | 0.931      |     | 0.127      | 0.142 |
| Cu  | 0.057      | 0.044 | 0.340      |     | 0.140      | 0.051 |
| Zn  | 0.138      | 0.100 | 0.652      |     | 0.235      | 0.163 |
| Cd  | -          | -     | -          |     | -          | •     |
| Fe  | 0.097      | 0.047 | 0.108      |     | 0.106      | 0.050 |

Fresh weight (Fw), Chlorophyll concentration (Chl) and stomatal conductance (Sc) of the 2nd leaves of plants grown in the soil samples. (Control Fw=552.6mg, Chl=2574 µg/g, Sc= 125 mmol/m2s)

| Sample 1  |      | Sample 2 | Sample 3 |  |
|---|------|----------|----------|--|
| Fw (mg)   | 51.2 | 132.7    | 113.7    |  |
| Chl (µg/g)                                      | 717  | 349      | 932      |  |
| Sc (mmol<br>H <sub>2</sub> O/m <sup>2</sup> .s) | 66.9 | 40.5     | 67.3     |  |

The basic, modified Hoagland nutrient solution contains: .25 mM KNC 1.25 m*M* Ca(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>x4H<sub>2</sub>O 0.5 m*M* MgSO<sub>4</sub>x7H<sub>2</sub>O 0.25 mM KH<sub>2</sub>(PO<sub>4</sub>) 11.6 μM H<sub>3</sub>BO<sub>3</sub> 4.6 μM MnCl<sub>2</sub>x4H<sub>2</sub>O 0.19 μM ZnSO<sub>4</sub>x7H<sub>2</sub>O 0.12 μM Na<sub>2</sub>MoO<sub>4</sub>x2H<sub>2</sub>O 0.08 μM CuSO<sub>4</sub>x5H<sub>2</sub>O 10 µM Fe(III)-citrate

100x dilutions, respectively

Fluorescence ratios derived from fluorescence images of the 2nd leaves of plants grown on soil samples



Fluorescence ratios derived from fluorescence images of the 2nd leaves of plants grown on nutrient solutions.









μM Solution 1 Solution 2 Solution 3 Pb(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub> 440 700 680 CuSO4\*5H4O 92.5 820 140 ZnSO4\*7H2O 74.4 610 310 2.23 3.67 CdSO, 0.27 Fe-citrate 10 10 10

Heavy metal concentrations were defined on the basis of their plant available fraction in the soil samples. The Fe content was considered to be the equal to 0.01 mM in all solutions and the heavy metal concentrations were calculated using their individual ratio to



Fresh weight (Fw), Chlorophyll concentration (Chl) and stomatal conductance (Sc) of the 2nd leaves of plants grown in the nutrient solutions. (Control Fw=2069mg, Chl=2355 µg/g, Sc= 784 mmol/m<sup>2</sup>s)

|   | Solution 1 |      | Solution 2 |      | Solution 3 |      |
|---|------------|------|------------|------|------------|------|
|   | 1x         | 10x  | 1x         | 10x  | 1x         | 10x  |
| Fw (mg)   | 89         | 402  | 149        | 75   | 68         | 245  |
| Chl (µg/g)                                      | 1550       | 2070 | 926        | 2120 | 1720       | 1935 |
| Sc (mmol<br>H <sub>2</sub> O/m <sup>2</sup> .s) | 92.8       | 56.3 | 143.3      | 99.4 | 88.8       | 60.4 |

## Conclusions

• On the basis of the Pb. Zn. Fe and Cu concentrations compared in soil- and solution-grown plants the best correlation was found between Sample 3 solution diluted 10 times and the original soil sample followed by the undiluted solution of Sample 2. However the correlation for Sample 1 was poor.

Chlorophyll concentration decreased similarly in soil- and solution-grown samples whereas  $F_{V}F_m$  values (not shown) decreased only in Sample 2 compared to the control in both types. Transpiration (stomatal conductance) decreased much more in solution samples and correlation could not be established with the patterns of heavy metal content in the second leaf. F690/740 fluorescence ratio determined by fluorescence imaging proved to be a sensitive indicator and increased similarly in soil- and undiluted solution-grown samples. These findings imply that the established solution models can be reliable tools for the quick evaluation of the potential effect of soils contaminated by several heavy metals on plants.

· Iron can be used as reference point for setting metal ratios on condition that it is not a limiting factor.

This work was supperted by the Ministry of Health, Hungary. ETT093/2006