Comparative testing of natural and synthetic Fe-compounds in regenerating Fe-deficient plants

Ádám Solti¹, Dávid Hajnal¹, Viktória Czech¹, Krisztina Kovács², Lourdes Hernández-Apaolaza³, Juan J. Lucena³, <u>FERENC FODOR¹</u>

> ¹Department of Plant Physiology and Molecular Plant Biology, Eötvös Loránd University, Hungary ²Laboratory of Nuclear Chemistry, Institute of Chemistry, Eötvös Loránd University, Hungary ³Agricultural Chemistry Department, Universidad Autónoma de Madrid, Spain

Background



- chlorophyll concentration,
- quantum efficiency of the photosynthetic apparatus (chlorophyll-a fluorescence induction).



Maximal quantum efficiency $({\rm F_v}/{\rm F_m})$ after one day of Fe resupply in nutrient solution in cucumber and soybean plants



Maximal quantum efficiency shows that all tested Fe-chelates and Fe-complexes applied in nutrient solution were very efficient in curing Fe chlorosis in cucumber. In soybean only Fe-LS-Euc, Fe-EDDHA and Fe-IDHA were efficient.

After applying the Fe-compounds in foliar spray both plants recovered, though the recovery was lower than at application in nutrient solution. In general, natural complexes were more efficient than synthetic ones, EDDS being the least efficient.

Chl a+b and chloroplast Fe content recovered much slower than Fv/Fm. Fe uptake from Fe-EDDHA and Fe-LN in foliar spray is similar but Fe-EDDHA is more efficient in nutrient solution for soybean.

The recovery of Chl a+b concentration generally followed that of Fe pools in chloroplasts. Chl a+b increased more rapidly after resupplying Fe-LN in nutrient solution in both plants compared to Fe-EDDHA.

The efficiency of Fe-LN is comparable with Fe-EDDHA or better in restoring physiological parameters in Fe deficient plants.

Recovery after one day of Fe resupply as Fe-LN in nutrient solution and foliar spray

eferences

Conclusions

 Fe-complexes prepared from natural substances are equally efficient in restoring

Fe-deficient plants as artificial Fe-chelates

- Application in nutrient solution is more efficient than application in foliar sprays.
- Fe-chelates are more efficient in nutrient solution for Fe-efficient plants
- Fe-complexes and Fe-chelates are equally efficient in foliar spray

Carrasco et al. (2012) J. Agric. Food Chem., 60: 3331-3340.
Kovács et al. (2013) J. Agric. Food Chem. 61:12200-12210.
Lucena et al. (2008) Agronomy J. 100:813-818.
Wunta et al. (2003) Inorg. Chem. 42, 5412-5421.

Results

EDDHA: ethylenediamine-N,N'-bis(2hydroxyphenylacetate) EDDS: ethylenediamine-N,N'-disuccinate EDTA: ethylenediaminetetraacetate IDHA: imidodisuccinate



Maximal quantum efficiency $(F_{\rm v}/F_{\rm m})$ after one day of Fe resupply as foliar spray in cucumber and soybean plants

